

## Supplemental Material

**TABLE S1.** Parameters describing the disease progress plotted against the time according to the sigmoidal equation type  $y = 100 / (1 + e^{-((x-x_0)/b)})$ . Independent variable: Day of the year (DOY).  $R^2$  = coefficient of determination,  $b$  = slope factor of the curve,  $x_0$  = inflection point of the curve.

Year	Cultivar	Treatment	R <sup>2</sup>	Sig.	b	x <sub>0</sub>
2016	Pinot gris	Control	0.996	0.0019	8.5	306.3
		PDP	0.998	0.0008	9.0	313.0
	Riesling	Control	0.988	< 0.0001	8.8	300.7
		PDP	0.964	0.0005	8.7	310.1
2017	Pinot gris	Control	0.986	0.0072	13.2	282.8
		PDP	1.000	0.0002	12.7	289.4
	Riesling	Control	0.997	0.0014	7.9	273.2
		PDP	0.990	0.0053	6.7	277.9
2018	Pinot gris	Control	0.996	< 0.0001	8.0	292.2
		PDP	0.996	< 0.0001	7.0	299.6
	Riesling	Control	0.947	0.0011	12.0	299.2
		PDP	0.979	0.0002	10.2	307.0
2019	Pinot gris	Control	0.990	< 0.0001	15.0	290.8
		PDP	0.987	< 0.0001	9.7	288.6
	Riesling	Control	0.999	< 0.0001	5.5	284.8
		PDP	1.000	< 0.0001	6.6	288.3
2020	Pinot gris	Control	0.999	< 0.0001	9.0	303.7
		PDP	0.986	< 0.0001	9.8	315.5
	Riesling	Control	0.995	< 0.0001	8.2	302.0
		PDP	0.993	< 0.0001	6.0	307.0

Sigmoidal disease progress curves demonstrated highly significant correlations in all years for both cultivars and both treatments. Coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ) of sigmoidal equations

$[y = 100 / (1 + e^{-((x-x_0)/b)})]$  ranged from 0.95 to 1 with P-values between <0.0001 and 0.005 (Figure 1; Supplementary Table 1).

**TABLE S2.** Calculated dates (day of the year (DOY)) reaching a bunch rot disease severity of 5 % in the years 2016 to 2020 as well as deviations ( $\Delta$ ) between the DOY reaching 5 % disease severity in the treatment in a specific year and the DOY reaching 5 % disease severity in the control in the same year in the same cultivar. Average (2016 to 2020) deviations marked in bold font differ significantly.

<b>Pinot gris</b>	<b>Treatment</b>	<b>2016</b>	$\Delta$	<b>2017</b>	$\Delta$	<b>2018</b>	$\Delta$	<b>2019</b>	$\Delta$	<b>2020</b>	$\Delta$	<b>Average <math>\Delta</math></b>	<b>Sig.</b>
	<b>Control</b>	281.3		243.9		268.7		246.6		277.1			
	<b>PDP</b>	286.4	5.1	251.9	8.0	278.8	10.2	260.1	13.5	286.7	9.6	<b>10.3</b>	<b>0.003</b>
<b>Riesling</b>	<b>Control</b>	274.8		250.1		263.7		268.5		277.9			
	<b>PDP</b>	284.4	9.6	258.2	8.1	277.0	13.2	258.8	0.2	289.4	11.5	<b>8.3</b>	<b>0.019</b>

Calculated dates (DOY) reaching a disease severity of 5% were delayed in the PDP treatment compared to the control in both the cultivars for all five years. The deviations ( $\Delta$ ) between the DOY reaching 5 % disease severity in PDP treatment vs. control were significant in both cultivars according to the Welch *t*-test (Supplementary Table 2).

**TABLE S3.** Average values of the marketable yield, the non-marketable yield, the total yield, the proportion of the non-marketable yield on the total yield and the total soluble solids at harvest from 2016 to 2020, as well as average normalised values. Significantly different values (n = 4, Sig. ≤ 0.05) are marked in bold font.

Parameter	Cultivar	Treatment	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Average normalised value
Marketable yield (healthy) (kg/plant)	Pinot Gris	Control	3.1	1.6	3.9	<b>0.9</b>	4.7	1.00
		PDP	3.2	1.8	3.5	<b>0.7</b>	4.2	0.95
		Sig.	0.935	0.544	0.362	<b>0.024</b>	0.530	0.437
	Riesling	Control	2.7	1.8	3.0	0.8	<b>4.7</b>	1.00
		PDP	2.4	2.0	2.6	0.8	<b>4.3</b>	0.90
		Sig.	0.486	0.392	0.186	1.00	<b>0.000</b>	0.289
Non-marketable yield (rotten) (kg/plant)	Pinot Gris	Control	0.1	0.4	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0.5</b>	0.6	<b>1.00</b>
		PDP	0.1	0.4	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.3</b>	0.2	<b>0.53</b>
		Sig.	0.440	0.904	<b>0.028</b>	<b>0.029</b>	0.117	<b>0.023</b>
	Riesling	Control	<b>0.8</b>	0.6	<b>0.1</b>	0.4	<b>0.6</b>	<b>1.00</b>
		PDP	<b>0.2</b>	0.4	<b>0.0</b>	0.3	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0.41</b>

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Molitor, D., Schultz, M., Dam, D., Pallez-Barthel, M., Friedel, M., & Beyer, M. (2022). Partial double-pruning after bloom delays bunch rot epidemics in *Vitis vinifera* L. cvs. Riesling and Pinot gris. *OENO One*, 56(3).  
<https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.2022.56.3.4713>

		<b>Sig.</b>	<b>0.012</b>	0.085	<b>0.028</b>	0.296	<b>0.012</b>	<b>0.005</b>
<b>Total yield (kg/plant)</b>	<b>Pinot Gris</b>	<b>Control</b>	3.3	2.0	4.0	<b>1.4</b>	5.3	1.00
		<b>PDP</b>	3.3	2.2	3.5	<b>0.9</b>	4.4	0.90
		<b>Sig.</b>	0.987	0.598	0.296	<b>0.005</b>	0.263	0.244
	<b>Riesling</b>	<b>Control</b>	3.5	2.4	3.2	1.3	<b>5.4</b>	1.00
		<b>PDP</b>	2.6	2.4	2.6	1.1	<b>4.4</b>	0.81
		<b>Sig.</b>	0.144	0.885	0.121	0.686	<b>0.000</b>	0.057
<b>Proportion non-marketable yield</b>	<b>Pinot Gris</b>	<b>Control</b>	0.04	0.21	0.02	0.36	0.11	<b>1.00</b>
		<b>PDP</b>	0.03	0.19	0.01	0.28	0.04	<b>0.59</b>
		<b>Sig.</b>	0.193	0.553	0.089	0.154	0.146	<b>0.021</b>
	<b>Riesling</b>	<b>Control</b>	<b>0.22</b>	0.26	<b>0.04</b>	0.33	0.11	<b>1.00</b>
		<b>PDP</b>	<b>0.09</b>	0.16	<b>0.01</b>	0.24	0.04	<b>0.47</b>
		<b>Sig.</b>	<b>0.016</b>	0.110	<b>0.036</b>	0.215	0.051	<b>0.005</b>
<b>Total soluble solids at harvest date (Brix)</b>	<b>Pinot Gris</b>	<b>Control</b>	22.2	20.4	23.1	21.4	20.4	1.00

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

Molitor, D., Schultz, M., Dam, D., Pallez-Barthel, M., Friedel, M., & Beyer, M. (2022). Partial double-pruning after bloom delays bunch rot epidemics in *Vitis vinifera* L. cvs. Riesling and Pinot gris. *OENO One*, 56(3).  
<https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.2022.56.3.4713>

	<b>PDP</b>	22.0	21.1	23.0	22.0	20.1	1.01
	<b>Sig.</b>	0.599	0.411	0.888	0.388	0.619	0.552
<b>Riesling</b>	<b>Control</b>	18.9	19.6	21.3	19.6	18.9	<b>1.00</b>
	<b>PDP</b>	19.5	19.6	21.7	19.9	19.4	<b>1.02</b>
	<b>Sig.</b>	0.322	0.974	0.096	0.648	0.183	<b>0.025</b>